

03	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	<b>Date of MS Teams meeting: 1<sup>st</sup> February 2023</b>			
1a	<b>CATG change to Local Highway &amp; Footway Improvement Groups (LHFIG)</b>			
	<p>There have been changes to the format of the CATG as agreed in the budget for 2022/23. The groups names have been changed to Local Highway &amp; Footway Improvement Groups (LHFIG), there is additional funding and the scope of works the groups can implement has been widened. The new process has been ratified by Cabinet. The process for submitting issues is unchanged therefore continue as set out below:</p> <p><b>Please see link to complete the highway improvements form:</b> <a href="https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/article/6149/Local-Highway-and-Footway-Improvement-Groups">https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/article/6149/Local-Highway-and-Footway-Improvement-Groups</a> <b>and submit to</b> <a href="mailto:LHFIGrequests@wiltshire.gov.uk">LHFIGrequests@wiltshire.gov.uk</a></p>			
	<p><b><u>Chairmans announcement:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is recommended that no local contributions towards the costs of the ongoing waiting restrictions batch 001 review 2022/23 will be sort as there are a number of restrictions being progressed in a number of locations across the Stonehenge LHFIG area.</li> <li>• Maternity cover for Kate Davey – Rhiann Surgenor started in the team 3<sup>rd</sup> January.</li> <li>• Expectation of LHFIG project progress and delays in implementation of projects. Suggestion that Cllrs raise these concerns at a political level to understand the limited resources to complete projects over a single financial year and spend allocated budget.</li> <li>• Following a recent scrutiny from the chairman, it has shown that we are unable to currently fully resource the implementation of the LHFIG activities</li> <li>• Chairman has been doing scrutiny on speed assessments</li> <li>• We will discuss all highlighted in red initially, and check any queries in those in Amber and Green following on from this</li> <li>• We have £1084.18 left to allocate</li> </ul>			
1b.	<b>Attendees and apologies</b>			
	Present:	Andy Shuttleworth (Winterbourne Stoke), Cllr Kevin Daley, Cllr Monica Devendran, Cllr Graham Wright, Cllr Ian Blair Piling, Martin Farrow (Enford), Graham Jenkins (Bulford), Peter Paul (Durrington), Sandra Berge (Figheldean), Sean Noble (Great Wishford), Christopher Coats (Orcheston), Steven Black (South Newton), Richard Harris (Shrewton),		

	Apologies:	Richard Ayling (Netheravon), Rhiann Surgenor (Wiltshire Council), Cllr Damian Kuczera (Amebury Town Council)  Rae Owen (Woodford/Durnford)		
<b>2.</b>	<b>Notes of last meeting</b>			
		The notes of the last meeting held on 26 <sup>th</sup> October 2022 were accepted as a true record.		
<b>3.</b>	<b>Financial Position</b>			
		See Finance sheet. 2022/23 allocation is £35,462.00. 2021/22 underspend was £55,425.48 and the current commitments total £110,737.74 giving a remaining budget of £1084.18.		
<b>4.</b>	<b>Priority Schemes</b>			
a)	<a href="#">1-21-13</a> Great Wishford – gateway/planter	<p>Group agreed to support this issue. Site meeting undertaken in December 2021. Proposal plan attached to end of the agenda. Cost estimates as follows:                      **Prices may vary depending on style of gate chosen by PC                      Site 1 Langford Rd - <b>£1,727.44 (CATG £1,295.58, PC £431.86)</b>                      Site 2 West Street - <b>£1,611.83 (CATG £1,208.87, PC £402.96)</b>                      Site 3 Station Rd - <b>£3,285.38 (CATG £2,464.04, PC £821.35)</b></p> <p>Group agreed funding of all sites. Great Wishford PC confirmed contribution.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Order has been placed with contractor with anticipated implementation by the end of this calendar year.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS

<p>b)</p>	<p><a href="#">1-21-15</a> Shrewton, London Road – Traffic Calming</p>	<p>Excessively high volume of vehicles using this route as a 'rat run' to avoid the A303. See attached PDF titled 'substantive bid application 2021' (3 attachments). Traffic calming scheme along London Road to deter non local traffic. CATG chair agreed contribution of £12,500 towards the 2021 bid. Substantive bid results, Shrewton were not successful.</p> <p>Meeting held on 23/3/22 with Cllr Wright and Shrewton PC to discuss amendments to design ready for 2022/23 submission. Site visit undertaken earlier this month to determine whether pedestrian facilities are achievable as part of this project. Made contact with street lighting to determine if all LED work is complete and see if this is sufficient for this project to be implemented. Street lighting assessment required to determine accurate estimate. Funding required £2,500.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Shrewton Parish have submitted the substantive bid application and are awaiting an outcome.</p>	<p>Update notes reflect status</p>	<p>RS</p>
<p>c)</p>	<p><a href="#">1-21-21</a> Bulford, junction 15 &amp; 16 roundabouts</p>	<p>There are inadequate safe crossing points for pedestrians on both Junction 15 &amp; 16 in Bulford Village. Bulford Parish Council has raised this issue with leader of the council on numerous occasions and the local Councillor fully supports our case. Council requests that pedestrian crossing surveys are carried out at both junctions. Supporting information attached to end of the agenda.</p> <p>GW conducted site visit with PC to assess pedestrian issues surrounding mini roundabouts. Bulford PC confirmed this is the No 1 priority. KD attended site on 23/5/22 with Cllr Verbinnen and Graham Jenkins to discuss proposals for informal crossing points. Please see attached proposal plans for discussion. Cost estimate is in the region of £9,000 (LHFIG = £6,750, 25% contribution = £2,250). Group agreed funding of all sites. Bulford PC confirmed contribution.</p>	<p>Update notes reflect status</p>	<p>RS</p>

		<p><b>Update:</b> Designs submitted and agreed. Order has been sent to contractor but will be delayed until spring 2023 in line with the surfacing due to weather conditions over the winter period as suggested by the parish. See other outstanding job.</p>		
d)	<p><a href="#">1-21-24</a> Amesbury Church Street</p>	<p>Residents entrance is blocked by cars parking for hours on end in front of the gates in the "KEEP CLEAR" Zone and deliveries park across the gates (double Yellows lines and "Keep Clear" Area ) when delivering to the Dunkirk club and the Antrobus Arms Hotel. This can take two hours to find the driver sometimes. Second issue is that, cars wait in the "KEEP CLEAR" zone to go into the traffic calming so its difficult to gain access to the driveway. Request for bollards in the carriageway to ensure vehicles wait behind them before entering the traffic calming area along with no waiting sign in front of bollards.</p> <p>Deputy Major Damien Kucera confirmed this is Amesbury TC No 1 priority. Issues with on street parking on both sides of Church Street. Obstruction is for the police to enforce. Site meeting arranged for 12/04/22 to discuss options.</p> <p>Group agreed funding of £7,000 to progress Waiting Reviews batch 001 for 2022/23. Local Contributions to be confirmed in due course.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Waiting Restriction batch 001 cabinet report has been submitted for a final decision following the end of the formal consultation phase will be 20<sup>th</sup> October – 21<sup>st</sup> November 2022. Reboundable bollards have been ordered as confirmation of support was given by the TC, due by the end of the financial year.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS
5	<p><b>Priorities already agreed, not yet implemented</b></p>			

a)	<p><b>1-21-5</b> B3083 between A303 and Berwick St James</p>	<p>The southern B3083 runs from the A303 in the north at Winterbourne Stoke and travels in a general southwards direction through Berwick St James and onwards towards Stapleford where it joins the A36. The subject of this letter is the section between Winterbourne Stoke and Berwick St James and, the area either side of the junction between the B3083 and the public footpaths known as WST01/BSJA6.</p> <p>Please refer to item attached to agenda for full details of issues and request for new infrastructure.</p> <p>At February meeting it was agreed to defer to next meeting and request a representative is present to discuss the issue further.</p> <p>At July meeting group agreed to move to top priority list and for KD to investigate signing improvements. KD and RS met with Andy Shuttleworth on site in August.</p> <p>Proposal sent to Winterbourne Stoke PC and Berwick St James PC for review. Please see attached proposal for signing and road marking improvements. The cost estimate is £2,000 (CATG 75% = £1,500, PC 25% = £500)</p> <p>Group agreed to go ahead with the scheme and for WSPC to contribute but not BSTJ PC due to it being a small parish with limited funds.</p> <p>Signing works complete. Road marking to be laid during spring 2022.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment submitted to consultant for completion. Winterbourne Stoke have confirmed contribution of the full 25% £625 for completion of the speed limit assessment.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> This will be discussed within the taskgroup set up by Councillor Wright – to feedback on progress at next meeting</p>	GW
----	--	---	---	----

		<p>Speed limit assessment recommendation submitted to Berwick St James Parish Council on 14<sup>th</sup> October 2022. No speed limit changes recommended for this route.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> . Ongoing discussions with senior officers within Wiltshire Council to determine an appropriate way forward. Further action will depend on this outcome. This will be kept of the agenda.</p>		
b)	<p><u>1-21-10, 1-21-11, 1-21-12</u> C42 Woodford Valley – speeding and lack of footways</p>	<p>Discussion at the February meeting on issues 1-21-10, 1-21-11 and 1-21-12. PC and residents explained concerns over speeding traffic and lack of footways. All agreed to take a holistic approach to combine the Woodford issues and firstly look at speed limit review throughout Woodford Valley. Group agreed to fund assessment at £2,500 subject to Woodford PC contribution of 25%, TBC.</p> <p>AC suggested PC instruct parish steward to look at clearing undergrowth by railings. It was suggested the parish build an evidence log to be submitted to the consultant for consideration when the review is conducted.</p> <p>KD arranged site meeting with Woodford Parish Council which took place on 24<sup>th</sup> June 2021. Woodford Parish Council have confirmed 25% contribution towards the speed limit assessment. Woodford PC to confirm the extent of the assessment before I instruct the consultant to start. Woodford PC also confirmed they will arrange for the vegetation on the hill at the southern end of Upper Woodford to be cleared in order for us to assess whether a virtual footway can be accommodated.</p> <p>Extent plan confirmed with PC. Speed limit assessment submitted to consultant for action. Due to Covid-19 restrictions there is still a large backlog of traffic surveys to be completed across the county. I will continue to chase this speed limit assessment and provide an update in due course.</p>	<p><b>ACTION</b> This will be discussed within the taskgroup set up by Councillor Wright &amp; Kevin Daley – to feedback on progress at next meeting</p>	GW/KD

		<p>Speed limit assessment final report and recommendation sent to Woodford Parish Council during April 2022. Subsequent correspondence between KD and Woodford PC regarding the recommendation and virtual footway where KD outlined criteria and reasoning behind the results during May/June 2022.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Cllr Yuill and Cllr Daley added their comments and Cllr Daley agreed to escalate to Cabinet Member to request recommendations are overturned. Woodford PC to instruct Parish steward to clear and clean existing signing for better visibility. Cllr Wright wishes to escalate the process for speed limit assessments through scrutiny panel.</p>		
c)	<p><b>Durnford Speed limits</b> Confirmation from parish</p>	<p>Concerns over the lack of speed limit through Great Drunford village. Request for a 30mph speed limit to be implemented.</p> <p>Durnford PC discussed issues in more details. Expressed there is no speed limit at all or any footways. Cllr Daley supports this issue and so do the rest of the group. Group agreed to progress. Contribution confirmed by Durnford PC.</p> <p>Issues highlighted with planning apps and process with highways development control. Cllr Wright committed to investigating this issue.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment recommendation submitted to Durnford Parish Council on 10<sup>th</sup> October 2022. Speed limit changes are recommended for this route.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Invoice was sent for local contribution, TRO has been submitted to team to process. Graham Wright has advised the speed watch team</p>	<p><b>Action</b> DPC next meets at the beginning of March, and will minute their agreement to funding £1,750 of the cost of implementing this limit.</p>	DPC

		that a speed indication device could be deployed in this area. Durnford to confirm contribution for implementation.		
d)	<p>Enford – C32 Coombe &amp; East Chisenbury</p> <p>Confirmation from parish</p>	<p>Request for a speed limit assessment to reduce the existing 30mph speed limit to 20mph. This has been submitted as two separate requests but the Parish Council would like the group to agree for these to be combined into one assessment cost.</p> <p>No representative at the meeting. However, the chair Cllr Wright, Cllr Blair Pilling, Kate Davey and Enford PC had a subsequent meeting to discuss the speed limit assessment. It was agreed to proceed. Contribution confirmed by Enford PC.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment in progress. Awaiting traffic survey results. Anticipate submitting recommendations before the end of the calendar year.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment report issued to PC on 25/10/22 for review.</p> <p>Group support in principle and agreed to fund implementation subject to 25% contribution. Cost estimate in the region of £11,000 with 25% at £2,750.</p> <p><b><u>Update:</u></b></p> <p>Invoice was sent for local contribution, TRO has been submitted to team to process. Enford to confirm contribution for implementation.</p>	<p><b><u>Action</u></b></p> <p>Enford PC to confirm confirmation</p>	EPC



e)	<p><b><u>1-21-17</u></b> A345 Netheravon <b><u>No. 1 Priority</u></b></p>	<p>Road Safety and Speeding. The Parish Council would like the speed limit reduced to 40 mph. There are houses and flats along the edge of the A345 and 8 turnings to the east of the road plus a Caravan Park and other collections of houses which enter the A345. Three of the turnings to the north have very poor visibility onto the A345 and many accidents plus fatalities have occurred over several years.</p> <p>Netheravon PC promoted issue and expressed they are wanting to use CSW if the limit can be reduced. Group agreed to progress. Contribution confirmed by Netheravon PC.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment recommendation submitted to Netheravon Parish Council on 7<sup>th</sup> October 2022. No speed limit changes recommended for this route.</p> <p><b><u>Update:</u></b> Cllr Blair-Pilling and NPC highlighted disappointment in recommendation from Speed Limit Assessment. Ongoing discussions with Cabinet Member and senior officers within Wiltshire Council to determine an appropriate way forward. This will be kept on the agenda.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> This will be discussed within the taskgroup set up by Councillor Wright – to feedback on progress at next meeting</p>	GW
6.	<b>Other Priority schemes</b>			
a)	<p><b><u>1-20-15</u></b> Durrington 20mph speed limit assessment</p>	<p>Group agreed to fund 20mph assessment at £2,500. Durrington TC agreed 25% contribution of £625.</p> <p>Site visit undertaken by KD and traffic survey sites located. No order for the survey work has been placed yet due to Covid-19 lockdown restrictions. This work will be resumed when restrictions are eased.</p> <p>Assessments have now recommenced as Covid-19 restrictions have eased. It is anticipated traffic surveys will be undertaken over the summer period with the report being finalised in the Autumn. Due to</p>	<p><b><u>Action</u></b> Rhian to chase Ringway for an implementation date and inform Parish</p>	RS

		<p>Covid-19 restrictions there is still a large backlog of traffic surveys to be completed across the county. I will continue to chase this speed limit assessment and provide an update in due course.</p> <p>Group agreed to fund 20mph speed limit implementation. Total costs approx. £11,000. CATG £8,250, DTC 2,750. Consultation period from 30<sup>th</sup> June – 25<sup>th</sup> July 2022.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Cabinet member report submitted and signed off. Order has been placed with contractor with anticipated implementation by end of financial year. Rhiann to confirm date.</p>		
b)	<p><a href="#">5795</a></p> <p>A3028 from Double Hedges approaching new roundabout - No waiting at any time</p>	<p>Group agreed funding of £7,000 to progress Waiting Reviews batch 001 for 2022/23. Local Contributions to be confirmed in due course.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Waiting Restriction batch 001 cabinet report has been submitted for a final decision following the end of the formal consultation phase will be 20<sup>th</sup> October – 21<sup>st</sup> November 2022.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS
c)	<p><a href="#">1-21-16</a></p> <p>Shrewton, Tanners Lane – damage to property</p>	<p>Resident of No. 1 Tanners Lane is concerned that the corner of the property keeps getting hit by passing vehicles. It is an old cob building and each time it is hit the resident is worried that it is causing structural damage as well as costing money to repair the cob and guttering. Request for bollard/pole to be installed on the corner to protect the property. Please see attached photos at the end of the agenda.</p> <p>Site visit undertaken during September 2021. Options for a solution to be discussed at the meeting. Solutions to amend/add road markings and a bollard to be discussed at the meeting.</p> <p>Cllr Daley explained his site visit to this location with Cabinet Member for Highways Cllr Mark McClelland and the issues regarding property damage. Cllr Daley to chase option of rerouting idVerde vehicles in the other direction.</p>	<p><b>Action</b></p> <p>Rhiann to confirm which bollard has been ordered for installation</p>	RS

		<p>Further correspondence from the property owner in November 2021 received, indicating its not only refuse vehicles but other lorries delivering along Tanners Lane or using it as a short cut. Resident has suggest one tall post be installed at the corner of the property.</p> <p>Nikki Spreadbury Clew to send Cllr Wright email information on this issue. Cllr Wright to look at site and discuss options with Shrewton PC and KD.</p> <p>Agreed to implement hatched road markings around the property only and remove the changes to the give way junction opposite. Further proposal to implement bollard within the newly hatched area to be discussed for funding approval.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Works have been ordered with the contractor and are due by the end of the financial year.</p>		
d)	<p><b>1-21-18</b> C283 From Stoford bottom to A36 junction <b><u>No. 1 Priority</u></b></p>	<p>The C283 is a well known "rat-run" for traffic from the A360 to the A36; the volumes and speed of the traffic increase when there are difficulties upon the A303. There are no footpaths located on either side of the narrow road. Traffic speeds through the village in excess of the identified 30 mph restriction and is a danger to all inhabitants (both young and old) of the village.</p> <p>The traffic speed survey, performed in December 2019, identified -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) 85th percentile speed was 37.4mph.</li> <li>ii) 57.4% of recorded vehicles were exceeding the posted speed limit.</li> </ul> <p>Request for existing 30mph speed limit to be reduced to 20mph and for a pedestrian walkway from the upper entrance to the Mount Pleasant Estate to the A36 junction adjacent to the Swan public house.</p> <p>South Newton &amp; Stoford PC discussed issues in more detail. Cllr Daley supports issue. Action with PC to submit traffic survey in</p>	<p><b><u>Action</u></b> Rhiann to submit proposal to the Parish Council for further signs and lines. Group agreed in principle.</p>	RS

		<p>location where CSW takes place to determine a more recent average speed.</p> <p>PC contacted KD to clarify traffic survey procedure and they have submitted a request. Add virtual footway to issue and investigate once survey is complete.</p> <p>Results received for Stoford Bottom, Stoford: 85%ile = 31.55mph and mean speed = 25.6mph</p> <p><b><u>Update:</u></b> This site does not meet the criteria for a virtual footway due to issues with forward visibility and a daily traffic average of 2509 vehicles &gt; 1500. There is an option to add slow markings (with rumble effect) or yellow backed pedestrian signs – group/parish to discuss and support funding up to the max remaining budget.</p>		
e)	<p>1-21-20 Durrington, A3028 mini roundabout junction with Bulford Road</p>	<p>Concerns raised over the eastward travelling vehicles not giving way at the mini roundabout to traffic coming from Bulford Road. Request for road marking improvements to include give way lining and sign.</p> <p>Leave this issue until it becomes No. 1 priority for Durrington TC.</p> <p>Durrington TC to discuss top priorities locally and clarify the direction in which this issue occurs. Group agreed to proceed with this project.</p> <p>Mini roundabout road markings have recently been refreshed with the existing arrangement. Additional road markings proposal attached to end of agenda. £500 (LHFIG = £375, 25% contribution = £125). Group agreed funding.</p> <p><b><u>Update:</u></b> Order placed with contractor with anticipated completion by the end of the calendar year.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS

f)	<p><b>1-21-22</b> Woodford, southern parish boundary between Avon Bridge and Avon Farm</p>	<p>Four vehicles have left the road here in a year. All single vehicle incidents. Two crashed into south west side bringing down same BT pole, replaced twice by Open Reach. Two crashed north east side, one demolishing hedge, repaired by Little Durnford estate, one demolished bridge parapet next to it, which is still unrepaired after a year.  <a href="https://www.stratfordsubcastle.org.uk/post/off-road-towards-woodford">https://www.stratfordsubcastle.org.uk/post/off-road-towards-woodford</a>  <a href="https://www.stratfordsubcastle.org.uk/post/investigation-of-black-spot-underway">https://www.stratfordsubcastle.org.uk/post/investigation-of-black-spot-underway</a></p> <p>Parish boundary confirmed as location situated with Woodford Parish. Potential to explore warning signs to highlight hazards along this route. Please see attached proposal plan for discussion. Cost estimate in the region of £1,200 (LHFIG = £900, 25% contribution = £300). Group agreed to fund project subject to contribution confirmation.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Woodford PC to confirm 25% contribution before progressed.</p>	<p><b>Action</b> WPC have agreed to pay the requested £300. Rhiann to submit proposal to Parish to include NCN Route 45</p>	RS
g)	<p><b>1-22-1</b> South Newton &amp; Stoford A36 &amp; C283 Village gates</p>	<p>Site visit during July identified feasibility of village gates on C283 as suitable. Please see attached proposal plan for discussion. Cost estimate in the region of £5,000 (LHFIG = £3,750, 25% contribution = £1,250). PC contribution confirmed via email 11.07.22. Group agreed funding.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Order placed with contractor with anticipated completion by the end of the financial year.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS
h)	<p><b>1-22-2</b> Orcheston 20mph speed limit</p>	<p>Orcheston has benefited from a 30mph speed limit since 2017. Nevertheless in the past 5 years there has been a considerable increase in delivery traffic (always against the clock) as well as being the first choice for 4X4 drivers accessing Salisbury Plain. There are no pavement footpaths at all in the village and most cars are parked on the road. Where vehicles are parked off the road, access visibility is routinely poor and involves gradual “edging out”. When vehicles are parked opposite houses where residents have to “edge out”, there is</p>	<p><b>Action</b> Orcheston PC to confirm contribution/removal</p>	OPC

		<p>no possibility of traffic avoiding vehicles “edging out”. Speed needs to be reduced.</p> <p>This matter was raised at the Parish Council in January 2022. Councillors supported a proposal to ask for a traffic survey to provide data as to the suitability of a proposed 20mph speed limit. Cllr Christopher Coats, who has been active on the Stonehenge Tunnel group, volunteered to represent the views of the parish council at the CATG. We recognise that 25% of the cost of the survey will be charged to Orcheston Parish Council.</p> <p>Group agreed to proceed with this project. PC confirmed 25% contribution.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment report issued to PC on 25/10/22 for review.</p> <p>Group support in principle and agreed to fund implementation subject to 25% contribution. Cost estimate in the region of £7,000 with 25% at £1,750.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Invoice was sent for local contribution, TRO has been submitted to team to process. Contact from Orcheston which suggests they wish to discuss at the next parish meeting to confirm if they wish to proceed with implementation.</p>		
i)	1-22-6 Durrington issues	<p>Group agreed funding. Town Council agreed contribution at July 2022 meeting.</p> <p><b>Update:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Coronation Road dropped kerbs have been completed and can be removed</li> <li>2) Issues with of public foot-way on A345 Netheravon Road South East side where it drops down to the old a345 road. Pedestrian</li> </ol>	<p><b>Action</b></p> <p>1 &amp; 3 (and additional 2) can be removed from agenda. 2 is awaiting implementation, 4 &amp; 5 &amp; additional 1 Rhiann to chase with Ringway</p>	RS

		<p>wayfinding sign has been ordered and suggested implementation by the end of the financial year.</p> <p>3) Bollards outside the Chemists on Bulford Road have been completed and can be removed.</p> <p>4) Faded road markings list was provided by Cllr Graham Wright and was submitted for maintenance by Rhiann Surgenor. LHFIFG can fund this work if group agree.</p> <p>5) New fixing post required for 3 Welcome to Durrington Signs.</p> <p>Additions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Two street nameplates missing to be replaced. Group agree.</li> <li>2. Additional WR at Bulford Road was added to WR batch 001 for formal consultation 20<sup>th</sup> October – 21<sup>st</sup> November 2022. Waiting Restriction batch 001 cabinet report has been submitted for a final decision.</li> </ol>		
k)	<p>1-22-8/11 Amesbury waiting restrictions</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Archers Gate in vicinity of the school.</li> <li>2) Holders Road in vicinity of Stonehenge School.</li> </ol> <p>Group agreed funding of £7,000 to progress Waiting Reviews batch 001 for 2022/23.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Waiting Restriction batch 001 cabinet report has been submitted for a final decision following the end of the formal consultation phase will be 20<sup>th</sup> October – 21<sup>st</sup> November 2022.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS
l)	<p>1-22-9 Amesbury speed limit requests</p>	<p>Request for reduction in speed limits on Porton Road (40), Countess Road (40), Holders Road (30/20zone), Pendragon Way, Salisbury Street (30), High Street (30) and Church Street (30).</p> <p>LHFIFG requested Amesbury TC confirm top priority for 2022/23. Amesbury confirmed support to progress Porton Road this financial year and agreed 25% contribution.</p> <p>Speed Limit assessment extent plan submitted to Amesbury TC for review 10/10/22. Awaiting confirmation before issuing to consultant for action.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS

		<p><b>Update:</b> Amesbury TC confirmed extent they wish to submit on Porton Road. This has been sent with a report to the consultant for consideration.</p>		
m)	<p>1-22-10 Amesbury village gates and planters</p>	<p>The Town already has Historic Amesbury signage at the 8 entrance routes in to the Town, the purchasing and installing entrance gate and planters would make it more attractive to visitors and residents.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Amesbury TC to confirmed top priority site to progress project. This has been submitted to the consultant to carry out the assessment which will likely be carried out in the new financial year.</p>	<p><b>Action</b> Amesbury TC to confirm which site is the priority</p>	ATC
n)	<p>1-22-12 Amesbury Flower Lane direction signs</p>	<p>Request for direction signing to vet practice on Flower Lane.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> There is no legal requirement for a Highway Authority to erect and fund direction signs. A design has been submitted to the contractor who now also have contact details for the vets for funding. As and when agreed and paid the sign will be implemented</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS
o)	<p>1-22-13 Amesbury Melor View road markings</p>	<p>Parking issue to private car park at Melor View Amesbury. Visitors to other properties are parking at entrance to the private car park and blocking entry/exit. There used to be a yellow line across the entrance which has now faded. Customer has requested to have a yellow box painted at the entrance to the car park.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Parking Services have added this site to the list for road markings to be refreshed. Works are imminent. Rhiann has sent an email chasing up works.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS/PS



p)	1-22-14 Amesbury Salisbury Street signing	<p>Request for larger taxi rank sign to indicate the area is for taxis to all road users.</p> <p>Amesbury TC agreed to ensure legal signs are visibility at all times and not obstructed by planters.</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Parking Services have added this site to the list for road markings to be refreshed. Works are imminent. Rhiann has sent an email chasing up works.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS/PS
q)	1-22-16 Figheldean speed limits	<p>Requests to reduce the speed limit on the A345 from 50 MPH to 40 MPH through the village of Figheldean, to reduce the speed limit being 50 MPH to 30 MPH on the High Street entering the village of Figheldean and to reduce the general speed limit of 30 MPH to 20 MPH through the rest of the village of Figheldean and Ablington.</p> <p>LHFIG agreed to wait for outcome of A345 Netheravon speed limit assessment before agreeing a way forward for this request. No further action taken at this time.</p>	<p><b>Action</b></p> <p>Rhiann to update on progress with Netheravon and investigation 20mph zone within the village in the interim and feedback to Parish</p>	RS
r)	1-22-17 Great Wishford speed limit roundels	<p>Request to introduce carriageway speed limit roundels to reinforce the existing speed limit through the village.</p> <p>GWPC Rep joined meeting to comment on concerns of speeding in 20mph, to increase awareness and reinforce limit approx. 3 or 4 roundels would improve the issue. Group agreed in principle.</p> <p><b>ACTION</b></p> <p>Parish council confirmed locations, an estimate is due to be provided for works and implementation will be within the new financial year.</p>	<p><b>Action</b></p> <p>Rhiann to provide proposal and cost to Parish Council</p>	RS
s)	1-22-18 Orcheston signing replacement	<p>Request for replacement sign to campsite which is currently damaged and vandalised.</p> <p><b>Update</b></p> <p>Await further information from PC rep. Agreed in principle.</p>	<p><b>Action</b></p> <p>Parish to confirm further information</p>	OPC

t)	<p><b>1-22-19</b> Orcheston crossroads Webbs Hill (C291) speeding</p>	<p>Traffic rat running to avoid Shrewton centre comes down Webb's Hill at maximum speed despite 30mph signs 100m west of crossroads. There is a need to cause traffic to slow down before the crossroads. Request for measures to be installed to slow traffic down prior to the crossroads.</p> <p>This will be considered subject to outcome of the 20mph speed limit in Ocheston village.</p> <p><b><u>Update</u></b> Await further information from PC rep.</p>	<p><b><u>Action</u></b> Parish to confirm if they are moving forward with 20mph speed limit</p>	OPC
u)	<p><b>1-22-20</b> Winterbourne Stoke signing</p>	<p>Request for various signing replacements and amendments along Church Street, Winterbourne Stoke.</p> <p>WSPC comments replacement signs where locations have been spelt incorrectly, in need of repairs and additional signs in some places. Group agreed in principle. Please see below breakdown of supplied additional information to form.</p> <p><b><u>Update</u></b> Desktop survey has been conducted, site visit to ensure safe implementation is required.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2) Replacement advised</li> <li>3) Replacement advised, Brown signs are not suitable for churches however a black and white directional sign can be installed (group to agree)</li> <li>6) Removal to be organised</li> <li>7) Parish Steward can be instructed to clean the sign</li> <li>8) Replacement advised</li> <li>9) Above signage should indicate the location and continuation of Church Street, as above within 3 for brown sign info</li> <li>10) Replacement advised</li> <li>11) Removal to be organised</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>Action</u></b> Rhiann to provide a full proposal and cost to the parish council</p>	RS

		Rough estimate £2000 – subject to contract changes and traffic management requirement		
v)	1-22-21 Upper Woodford bridge damage repairs	<p>Damaged pillar on the north side of the river bridge at Upper Woodford, bridge end adjacent to the C42. The stone capping has been knocked off into the hedge, and the two retaining stones are now unaligned. Presumably the damage was caused by a large vehicle having to negotiate the turn onto the C42 from the bridge with the many vehicles parked on the road adjacent to the public house.</p> <p>Request for repairs to be undertaken.</p> <p>Currently liaising with bridges team to find out the extent of works required and costs involved.</p> <p><b>Update</b> WPC rep comments previous bridge repairs completed under traffic signals. Rhiann confirmed currently not a safety matter so will coordinate closure in due course. Leave on agenda and monitor through bridge team. Group agreed in principle.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS
w)	1-22-22 Netheravon Stagg's Lane street name plate	<p>Request for street name plate for Stagg's Lane, Netheravon.</p> <p>Group agreed in principle. Cllr Blair-Pilling in support. Group agree to fund and implement. Cost estimation of £500 (25% £125).</p> <p><b>Update:</b> Correct spelling confirmed and updated on the agenda, this will be ordered and implementation will happen in the new financial year.</p>	<b>Action</b> Rhiann to order and implement	RS

<p>x)</p>	<p><b>1-22-23</b> Durnford Longhedge roundabout speeding</p>	<p>Two residential drives on the west side of the A345 just north of the new Longhedge roundabout. Currently the speed limit changes from 40mph to national speed limit almost adjacent to the driveways. Traffic heading north accelerate as soon as they leave the roundabout making access/egress dangerous and traffic heading south do not reduce speed until after the location of these driveways.</p> <p>Request for 40mph to be extended further north beyond these access points, concealed entrance signs and vegetation cutting along the verge to increase visibility.</p> <p><b>Update</b> Hedge/trees are responsibility of the landowner, however have been trimmed back now. Durnford PC to confirm if the matter is still an issue and group to discuss if this is still required. From the speed assessment it showed average speeds of 37 heading Northbound and 50 heading Southbound. A TRO would need to be submitted at a cost of £2000 and as these signs are electric a rough cost of £6000 (depending on new contract rates). This also falls over Stonehenge and Southern area boards and both would need to agree costs.</p>	<p><b>Action</b> To be discussed at the DPC meeting at the beginning of March</p>	<p>DPC</p>
<p>y)</p>	<p><b>1-22-24</b> Bulford cycle signing</p>	<p>There is inadequate cycle way signage between the BULF05 combined footpath/cycle path and the Bulford to Solstice Park combined footpath/cycle path. This has led to members of the public including children being misdirected and cycling up Telegraph Hill to Amesbury. The road is narrow and unsuitable for the general public to cycle. The Bulford to Solstice Park combined footpath/cycle path was introduced originally to overcome this issue.</p> <p>The lack of adequate signage was raised as an issue when the Bulford to Solstice Park combined footpath/cycle path was introduced but was left unaddressed by Wiltshire Unitary Council. The introduction of the BULF05 combined footpath/cycle path has made matters worse as there is no clear crossing point between paths or coherent signage.</p>	<p><b>Action</b> Rhiann to order SDR for spring to capture data to allow an additional sign, to stay on the agenda and discuss following the results</p>	<p>RS</p>

		<p>Bulford Parish Council requests that a survey of signage be carried out and where necessary appropriate signage added.</p> <p><b>Update</b> Email sent to Parish Council for joint site visit to discuss the extent of signage required. Meeting was agreed for 24<sup>th</sup> January. SDR results are required which will commence in the spring to capture the extent of the problem at which point an estimate can be drawn up for agreement. Will remain on the agenda for group to agree cost once this has been completed.</p>		
z)	<p>1-22-25 Shrewton A360 – traffic calming measures</p>	<p>Issue speeding traffic, especially drivers overtaking traffic already travelling at the speed limit and then continuing at excessive speed. There is a pavement so pedestrian involvement is minimal except when attempting to cross the road. Recent example – Cllr. attending a SID on the A360 (near the Chitterne Road junction) witnessed a Dutch car (so driver sitting 'on the wrong side' for good visibility) towing a trailer overtaking multiple vehicles of slower traffic.</p> <p>Traffic islands on the A360 Maddington St north of the Sports &amp; Social Club, and on the A360 Salisbury Road also between the mini-roundabout and the B3083 road towards Winterbourne Stoke.</p> <p><b>Update</b> Our policy states we do not put traffic calming on an A road. I understand the concerns and therefore I have ordered a traffic survey to investigate into the matter further. No charge to LHFIG or Parish. This will remain on the agenda whilst this is carried out.</p>	<p><b>Action</b> Rhiann to chase traffic survey team for a date, once results are back this will be reviewed and discussed with the parish council further</p>	RS
aa)	<p>1-22-26 Shrewton B3086 weight limit signing</p>	<p>The 3.5T HGV weight limit sign just west of the grain silos consistently suffers damage and vandalism - the sign is mounted on a single pole. The sign does seem to mysteriously move thus making it harder to read, recently it was pushed over and rotated 180 degrees.</p>	Update notes reflect status	RS

		<p>Request for additional posts to support the orientation of the sign.</p> <p><b><u>Update</u></b> This has been ordered through the local masonry team for a charge back through LHFIG and I am hoping implementation will be imminent.</p>		
bb)	<p>1-22-27 Shrewton B3083 entrance to village from Winterbourne Stoke – signing/road markings</p>	<p>Safety of pedestrians as motorists enter the village from Winterbourne Stoke. There are no pavements, with traffic often travelling at excessive speed.</p> <p>Request measures such as markings / rumble strips on B3083 at the 30mph terminal to emphasise to drivers coming into the village from Winterbourne Stoke that they are entering a 30mph zone and there are pedestrians in the road.</p> <p>Cllr Daley submitted comments prior to meeting: confirms support for this request. Group agreed to support this issue.</p> <p><b><u>Update</u></b> Rhiann to prepare proposal and cost estimate for parish</p>	<p><b><u>Action</u></b> Further discussion with the parish council required in terms of suggested changes. Rhiann to prepare proposal and cost estimate.</p>	RS
cc)	<p>1-22-28 Shrewton Rollestone Crossroads weight limit signing amendments</p>	<p>Current signing states 'weight limit 1/4 mile ahead' above a HGV weight limit advance warning sign, below the warning it states 'Alternative route use (A360)'. This relies on the driver remembering the previous sign (which is still face down following Storm Eunice) 200m earlier showing the (A360) as a left turn.</p> <p>Request for improved signing on the eastern approach to the Rollestone Crossroads. Suggest something along the lines of 'Turn left to avoid weight restriction'.</p> <p><b><u>Update</u></b> As per the traffic regulations the only edit permissible for this type of sign is to add an arrow. A new sign will need to be ordered. Group to discuss this further in the LHFIG and Shrewton to confirm funding.</p>	<p><b><u>Action</u></b> Further confirmation of change of sign and full cost to be provided by email to the parish council for discussion before the next meeting.</p>	RS

		Cost estimate of £1200 which may change due to contract rate changes.		
<b>7.</b>	<b>New Requests / Issues</b>			
a)	<b>1-22-30</b> Durrington vehicle activated signs	<p>Lorries and Buses that meet in Church Street and Junction of Bulford Rd of are getting stuck as the road is too narrow. This causes dangerous reversing manoeuvres to large vehicles.</p> <p>Request for provision of traffic-controlled sensors similar to West Lavington so that oncoming vehicles are aware of traffic on route. Considering the 20mph implementation the provision of 2 x road narrows signs is recommended.</p>	<b>Action</b> Councillors took an action to discuss the policies and procedures behind vehicle activated signs. This will be left on the agenda for discussion following the 20pmh and potential waiting restriction implementation.	GW
b)	<b>1-23-1</b> Amesbury road markings & waiting restrictions	<p>Often there are parked cars either side of the road (South Mill). The bigger issue is then, for instance, fire engines/larger vehicle access. There are also no road markings once you leave Salisbury Road into South Mill.</p> <p><u>Update</u> Waiting restrictions to be added to the next years submission of waiting restrictions if agreed by group, existing white lining can be raised via maintenance or LHFIG but will be the new financial year.</p>	<b>Action</b> Group supported to be submitted on waiting restrictions order for 2023/2024 order. Amesbury TC to confirm if they wish to fully fund themselves or wait for the next batch.	RS
<b>8.</b>	<b>Any other business</b>			
	Speed limit reviews	The group discussed in detail the way speed limit reviews are done. Graham Wright confirmed the process of how Atkins carry these out once they have been requested by the LHFIG. Further consideration is required and GW took an action to set up a task force with members of the LHFIG who have outstanding or completed contentious speed limit reviews to discuss in more detail how this will be raised with the	Update notes reflect status	GW/RS

		cabinet for a potential reviews of the processes and policies. Rhiann to provide contact details to GW in order to set up a first meeting. Many issues on the agenda plus a trial of Netheravon have been discussed. GW to provide an update at the next LHFIG meeting on how this has gone to the group.		
--	--	---	--	--

9.	
	<p><b><u>PRIORITY SCHEMES LISTED BELOW (SCHEMES HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW REQUIRE FUNDING APPROVAL FROM THE AREA BOARD, ITEMS IN GREY ARE ALREADY AGREED AND CURRENTLY IN PROGRESS):</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 1-21-13 Great Wishford Village Gates £6,624.66 (CATG £4,968.49, Great Wishford PC £1,656.16 )</li> <li>2. 1-20-15 Durrington 20mph speed limit implementation £11,000 (CATG £8,250, Durrington TC £2,750)</li> <li>3. 1-21-15 Shrewton London Road street lighting assessment £2,500 (LHFIG £2,500)</li> <li>4. 1-21-21 Bulford dropped kerbs and HFS treatment £9,000 (LHFIG 6,750, Bulford PC £2,250)</li> <li>5. 1-21-16 Shrewton Tanners Lane road markings £1,000 (LHFIG £1,000)</li> <li>6. 1-21-20 Durrington A3028 mini roundabout road markings £500 (LHFIG £500)</li> <li>7. 1-21-22 Woodford Avon Bridge warning signs £1,200 (LHFIG £900, Woodford PC £300 TBC)</li> <li>8. Batch 001 Waiting Restrictions for 2022/23 - £7,000 (LHFIG £7,000, Agreed no local contributions required).</li> <li>9. 1-22-1 Stoford C283 village gate and road markings £5,000 (LHFIG £3,750, South Newton &amp; Stoford PC £1,250)</li> <li>10. 1-22-6 Durrington issues approx. value £6,000 (LHFIG £4,500, Durrington TC £1,500)</li> <li>11. 1-22-9 Amesbury Porton Road Speed Limit Assessment £2,500 (LHFIG £1,875, Amesbury TC £625)</li> <li>12. Durnford 30mph speed limit implementation £7,000 (LHFIG £5,250, Durnford PC £1,750 TBC)</li> <li>13. East Chisenbury &amp; Coombe 20mph speed limit implementation £11,000 (LHFIG £8,250, Enford PC £2,750 TBC)</li> <li>14. 1-22-2 Orcheston 20mph speed limit implementation £7,000 (LHFIG £5,250, Orcheston PC £1,750 TBC)</li> <li>15. 1-22-22 Netheravon Stagg Lane street name plate £500 (LHFIG £375, Netheravon PC £125 TBC)</li> <li>16. 1-22-26 Shrewton B3086 and B3083 additional signposts £300 (LHFIG £225, Shrewton PC £75 TBC)</li> </ol>



10.			
	<b>Date of Next Meeting</b>	TBC – suggested date of 19 <sup>th</sup> April 2023 via MS Teams	

### Amesbury Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Rhiann Surgenor (maternity cover for Kate Davey)

#### 1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

#### 2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Amesbury Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3<sup>rd</sup> party contributions are confirmed, Amesbury Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£1,084.18**.

#### 3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

#### 4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

#### 5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

#### 6. Safeguarding implications

6.1 There are no specific safeguarding implications related to this report.